

B104 (FORM 104) (08/07)

EDVA

ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COVER SHEET (Instructions on Reverse)		ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NUMBER (Court Use Only)		
PLAINTIFFS	DEFENDANTS			
ATTORNEYS (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone No.)	ATTORNEYS (If Known)			
PARTY (Check One Box Only) <input type="checkbox"/> Debtor <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin <input type="checkbox"/> Creditor <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Trustee	PARTY (Check One Box Only) <input type="checkbox"/> Debtor <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin <input type="checkbox"/> Creditor <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Trustee			
CAUSE OF ACTION (WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE OF ACTION, INCLUDING ALL U.S. STATUTES INVOLVED)				
NATURE OF SUIT (Number up to five (5) boxes starting with lead cause of action as 1, first alternative cause as 2, second alternative cause as 3, etc.)				
<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top; border: none;"> FRBP 7001(1) – Recovery of Money/Property <input type="checkbox"/> 11-Recovery of money/property - §542 turnover of property <input type="checkbox"/> 12-Recovery of money/property - §547 preference <input type="checkbox"/> 13-Recovery of money/property - §548 fraudulent transfer <input type="checkbox"/> 14-Recovery of money/property - other FRBP 7001(2) – Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien <input type="checkbox"/> 21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property FRBP 7001(3) – Approval of Sale of Property <input type="checkbox"/> 31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h) FRBP 7001(4) – Objection/Revocation of Discharge <input type="checkbox"/> 41-Objection / revocation of discharge - §727(c),(d),(e) FRBP 7001(5) – Revocation of Confirmation <input type="checkbox"/> 51-Revocation of confirmation FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability <input type="checkbox"/> 66-Dischargeability - §523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims <input type="checkbox"/> 62-Dischargeability - §523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 67-Dischargeability - §523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny <div style="text-align: center;">(continued next column)</div> </td> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top; border: none;"> FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability (continued) <input type="checkbox"/> 61-Dischargeability - §523(a)(5), domestic support <input type="checkbox"/> 68-Dischargeability - §523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury <input type="checkbox"/> 63-Dischargeability - §523(a)(8), student loan <input type="checkbox"/> 64-Dischargeability - §523(a)(15), divorce or separation obligation (other than domestic support) <input type="checkbox"/> 65-Dischargeability - other FRBP 7001(7) – Injunctive Relief <input type="checkbox"/> 71-Injunctive relief – imposition of stay <input type="checkbox"/> 72-Injunctive relief – other FRBP 7001(8) Subordination of Claim or Interest <input type="checkbox"/> 81-Subordination of claim or interest FRBP 7001(9) Declaratory Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 91-Declaratory judgment FRBP 7001(10) Determination of Removed Action <input type="checkbox"/> 01-Determination of removed claim or cause Other <input type="checkbox"/> SS-SIPA Case – 15 U.S.C. §§78aaa <i>et seq.</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 02-Other (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court if unrelated to bankruptcy case) </td> </tr> </table>			FRBP 7001(1) – Recovery of Money/Property <input type="checkbox"/> 11-Recovery of money/property - §542 turnover of property <input type="checkbox"/> 12-Recovery of money/property - §547 preference <input type="checkbox"/> 13-Recovery of money/property - §548 fraudulent transfer <input type="checkbox"/> 14-Recovery of money/property - other FRBP 7001(2) – Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien <input type="checkbox"/> 21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property FRBP 7001(3) – Approval of Sale of Property <input type="checkbox"/> 31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h) FRBP 7001(4) – Objection/Revocation of Discharge <input type="checkbox"/> 41-Objection / revocation of discharge - §727(c),(d),(e) FRBP 7001(5) – Revocation of Confirmation <input type="checkbox"/> 51-Revocation of confirmation FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability <input type="checkbox"/> 66-Dischargeability - §523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims <input type="checkbox"/> 62-Dischargeability - §523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 67-Dischargeability - §523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny <div style="text-align: center;">(continued next column)</div>	FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability (continued) <input type="checkbox"/> 61-Dischargeability - §523(a)(5), domestic support <input type="checkbox"/> 68-Dischargeability - §523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury <input type="checkbox"/> 63-Dischargeability - §523(a)(8), student loan <input type="checkbox"/> 64-Dischargeability - §523(a)(15), divorce or separation obligation (other than domestic support) <input type="checkbox"/> 65-Dischargeability - other FRBP 7001(7) – Injunctive Relief <input type="checkbox"/> 71-Injunctive relief – imposition of stay <input type="checkbox"/> 72-Injunctive relief – other FRBP 7001(8) Subordination of Claim or Interest <input type="checkbox"/> 81-Subordination of claim or interest FRBP 7001(9) Declaratory Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 91-Declaratory judgment FRBP 7001(10) Determination of Removed Action <input type="checkbox"/> 01-Determination of removed claim or cause Other <input type="checkbox"/> SS-SIPA Case – 15 U.S.C. §§78aaa <i>et seq.</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 02-Other (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court if unrelated to bankruptcy case)
FRBP 7001(1) – Recovery of Money/Property <input type="checkbox"/> 11-Recovery of money/property - §542 turnover of property <input type="checkbox"/> 12-Recovery of money/property - §547 preference <input type="checkbox"/> 13-Recovery of money/property - §548 fraudulent transfer <input type="checkbox"/> 14-Recovery of money/property - other FRBP 7001(2) – Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien <input type="checkbox"/> 21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property FRBP 7001(3) – Approval of Sale of Property <input type="checkbox"/> 31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h) FRBP 7001(4) – Objection/Revocation of Discharge <input type="checkbox"/> 41-Objection / revocation of discharge - §727(c),(d),(e) FRBP 7001(5) – Revocation of Confirmation <input type="checkbox"/> 51-Revocation of confirmation FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability <input type="checkbox"/> 66-Dischargeability - §523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims <input type="checkbox"/> 62-Dischargeability - §523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 67-Dischargeability - §523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny <div style="text-align: center;">(continued next column)</div>	FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability (continued) <input type="checkbox"/> 61-Dischargeability - §523(a)(5), domestic support <input type="checkbox"/> 68-Dischargeability - §523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury <input type="checkbox"/> 63-Dischargeability - §523(a)(8), student loan <input type="checkbox"/> 64-Dischargeability - §523(a)(15), divorce or separation obligation (other than domestic support) <input type="checkbox"/> 65-Dischargeability - other FRBP 7001(7) – Injunctive Relief <input type="checkbox"/> 71-Injunctive relief – imposition of stay <input type="checkbox"/> 72-Injunctive relief – other FRBP 7001(8) Subordination of Claim or Interest <input type="checkbox"/> 81-Subordination of claim or interest FRBP 7001(9) Declaratory Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 91-Declaratory judgment FRBP 7001(10) Determination of Removed Action <input type="checkbox"/> 01-Determination of removed claim or cause Other <input type="checkbox"/> SS-SIPA Case – 15 U.S.C. §§78aaa <i>et seq.</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 02-Other (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court if unrelated to bankruptcy case)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Check if this case involves a substantive issue of state law	<input type="checkbox"/> Check if this is asserted to be a class action under FRCP 23			
<input type="checkbox"/> Check if a jury trial is demanded in complaint	Demand \$			
Other Relief Sought				

B104 (FORM 104) (08/07), Page 2

BANKRUPTCY CASE IN WHICH THIS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING ARISES		
NAME OF DEBTOR		BANKRUPTCY CASE NO.
DISTRICT IN WHICH CASE IS PENDING	DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE
RELATED ADVERSARY PROCEEDING (IF ANY)		
PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO.
DISTRICT IN WHICH ADVERSARY IS PENDING	DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF)		
DATE		PRINT NAME OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF)

INSTRUCTIONS

The filing of a bankruptcy case creates an "estate" under the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court which consists of all of the property of the debtor, wherever that property is located. Because the bankruptcy estate is so extensive and the jurisdiction of the court so broad, there may be lawsuits over the property or property rights of the estate. There also may be lawsuits concerning the debtor's discharge. If such a lawsuit is filed in a bankruptcy court, it is called an adversary proceeding.

A party filing an adversary proceeding must also complete and file Form 104, the Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet, *unless the party files the adversary proceeding electronically through the court's Case Management/Electronic Case Filing system (CM/ECF). (CM/ECF captures the information on Form 104 as part of the filing process.) When completed, the cover sheet summarizes basic information on the adversary proceeding. The clerk of court needs the information to process the adversary proceeding and prepare required statistical reports on court activity.

The cover sheet and the information contained on it do not replace or supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, the Bankruptcy Rules, or the local rules of court. The cover sheet, which is largely self-explanatory, must be completed by the plaintiff's attorney (or by the plaintiff if the plaintiff is not represented by an attorney). A separate cover sheet must be submitted to the clerk for each complaint filed.

Plaintiffs and Defendants. Give the names of the plaintiffs and defendants exactly as they appear on the complaint.

Attorneys. Give the names and addresses of the attorneys, if known.

Party. Check the most appropriate box in the first column for the plaintiffs and the second column for the defendants.

Demand. Enter the dollar amount being demanded in the complaint.

Signature. This cover sheet must be signed by the attorney of record in the box on the second page of the form. If the plaintiff is represented by a law firm, a member of the firm must sign. If the plaintiff is pro se, that is, not represented by an attorney, the plaintiff must sign.

*Per LBR 7003-1, in the EDVA, a properly completed Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet is required.

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz, Esq.
Andrew W. Caine, Esq.
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
10100 Santa Monica Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90067-4100
Telephone: (310) 277-6910
Telecopy: (310) 201-0760

Lynn L. Tavenner, Esq. (VA Bar No. 30083)
Paula S. Beran, Esq. (VA Bar No. 34679)
TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC
20 North Eighth Street, 2nd Floor
Richmond, Virginia 23219
Telephone: (804) 783-8300
Telecopy: (804) 783-0178

- and -

Robert J. Feinstein, Esq.
John A. Morris, Esq.
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor
New York, New York 10017
Telephone: (212) 561-7700
Telecopy: (212) 561-7777

Counsel to the Liquidating Trustee

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
RICHMOND DIVISION**

In re:) Case No. 08-35653 (KRH)
)
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC., <u>et al.</u> ,) Chapter 11
)
Debtors.) (Jointly Administered)
)
ALFRED H. SIEGEL, AS TRUSTEE OF THE)
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC. LIQUIDATING) Adv. Pro. No. 10-_____
TRUST,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
TOMTOM, INC.,)
)
Defendant.)

**THE LIQUIDATING TRUSTEE'S COMPLAINT TO RECOVER AMOUNTS
OWING TO ESTATE AND TO SETOFF RECEIVABLES AGAINST THE
DEFENDANT'S CLAIMS AND OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 3039**

Alfred H. Siegel, the duly appointed trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust (the “Trustee”), pursuant to the Second Amended Joint Plan of Liquidation of Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its Affiliated Debtors and Debtors in Possession and its Official Committee of Creditors Holding General Unsecured Claims (the “Plan”), for his complaint and for his objection to proof of claim number 3039 (the “Complaint”) against TomTom, Inc. (“Defendant”), alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. The Trustee brings this action against Defendant to recover amounts due and owing from Defendant to Circuit City arising from the relationship between the parties. In addition to contractual claims, the Trustee seeks recovery for unjust enrichment and to setoff those claims against Defendant’s proof of claim. Finally, the Trustee objects to Defendant’s general unsecured proof of claim filed against the Debtors’ estates.

THE PARTIES

2. The Trustee (“Trustee” or “Plaintiff”) is the duly appointed trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust (the “Trust”). Pursuant to Articles II and III of the Trust, the Trustee has the sole authority to pursue claims transferred to the Trust by the Debtors through the Plan, and to litigate objections to claims asserted against the Debtors’ estates.

3. Prior to the Effective Date of the Plan, Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its affiliated debtors in possession (collectively “Circuit City” or the “Debtors”)¹ were corporations

¹ The Debtors and the last four digits of their respective taxpayer identification numbers are as follows: Circuit City Stores, Inc. (3875), Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. (0785), InterTAN, Inc. (0875), Ventoux International, Inc. (1838), Circuit City Purchasing Company, LLC (5170), CC Aviation, LLC (0841), CC Distribution Company of Virginia, Inc. (2821), Circuit City Properties, LLC (3353), Kinzer Technology, LLC (2157), Abbott Advertising Agency, Inc. (4659), Patapsco Designs, Inc.(6796), Sky Venture Corp. (0311), PRAHS, Inc.(n/a), XSStuff, LLC (9263), Mayland MN, LLC (6116), Courchevel, LLC (n/a), Orbyx Electronics, LLC (3360), and Circuit City Stores PR, LLC (5512). The address for Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. is 9250 Sheridan Boulevard,

(cont’d)

that maintained their respective principal places of business in the locations set forth below, and were the debtors in the above-captioned chapter 11 bankruptcy cases.

4. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Massachusetts with its principal place of business in Concord, Massachusetts.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334.

6. This is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).

7. Venue of these chapter 11 cases and this adversary proceeding in this district and before this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

8. The statutory and legal predicates for the relief requested by the Complaint are sections 105, 502, 503, 541, 542, 547, 550, and 558 of title 11, United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), Bankruptcy Rules 3007 and 7001, and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3007-1.

PERTINENT FACTS

A. General Case Background

9. On November 10, 2008 (the “Petition Date”), the Debtors filed voluntary petitions in this Court for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, and until the effective date of the Plan, continued to operate as debtors in possession pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 1107 and 1108.

(cont'd from previous page)

Westminster, Colorado 80031. For all other Debtors, the address was 9950 Mayland Drive, Richmond, Virginia 23233 and currently is 4951 Lake Brook Drive, Glen Allen, VA 23060.

10. On November 12, 2008, the Office of the United States Trustee for the Eastern District of Virginia appointed a statutory committee of unsecured creditors (the “Creditors’ Committee”).

11. On January 16, 2009, the Court authorized the Debtors to, among other things, conduct going out of business sales at all of the Debtors’ retail locations (the “Stores”) pursuant to an agency agreement (the “Agency Agreement”) between the Debtors and a joint venture, as agent (the “Agent”). On January 17, 2009, the Agent commenced going out of business sales at the Stores pursuant to the Agency Agreement. As of March 8, 2009, the going out of business sales at the Debtors’ stores were completed.

12. On August 9, 2010, the Debtors and the Creditors’ Committee filed the Plan, which provides for the liquidation of the Debtors’ assets and distribution of the proceeds thereof under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

13. On September 10, 2010, the United States Bankruptcy Court, Eastern District of Virginia, signed an Order confirming the Plan.

14. The Plan became effective on November 1, 2010 (the “Effective Date”).

B. The Business Relationship Between Circuit City And Defendant

15. Prior to the commencement of these bankruptcy cases, the Debtors were a leading specialty retailer of consumer electronics and operated large nationwide electronics stores that sold, among other things, televisions, home theatre systems, computers, camcorders, furniture, software, imaging and telecommunications products, and other audio and video electronics.

16. On January 28, 2005, Circuit City and Defendant entered into an agreement pursuant to which Defendant sold goods to Circuit City (the “Agreement”).

17. During the course of the parties’ relationship, the parties engaged in numerous transactions pursuant to the Agreement, that are reflected in invoices, communications and other documents (collectively, the “Defendant’s Agreements”).

18. Circuit City and Defendant conducted business with one another up to and through the Petition Date, and thereafter, under the Defendant’s Agreements.

19. During the ordinary course of the Debtors’ business, the Debtors maintained books and records of their transactions with Defendant (the “Books and Records”).

C. Amounts Allegedly Owed To Defendant And Defendant’s Proofs Of Claim

(1) Claim No. 368

20. On or about November 24, 2008, Defendant filed a claim in the amount \$8,412,529.56 as a claim allegedly entitled to administrative priority under Bankruptcy Code section 503(b)(9). The Claims Agent appointed by the Court designated this claim as claim no. 368 (“Claim No. 368”).

21. In Claim No. 368, Defendant alleged that the claim was based on the sales of certain goods by Defendant to Circuit City during the twenty-day period prior to the Petition Date.

(2) Claim No. 12125

22. On or about April 6, 2009, Defendant filed claim no. 12125 in the amount of \$1,453,801.92 as a claim allegedly entitled to administrative priority under Bankruptcy Code

Section 503(b)(1). The Claims Agent appointed by the Court designated this as claim no. 12125 (“Claim No. 12125”).

(3) Claim No. 3039

23. On or about January 9, 2009, Defendant filed claim no. 3039 in an unliquidated amount as a general unsecured non-priority claim. The Claims Agent appointed by Court designated this claim as claim no. 3039 (“Claim No. 3039”).

24. Claim No. 3039 was filed on account of goods and services allegedly provided to Circuit City prior to the Petition Date.

25. After taking into account Court-ordered objections to claims, Claim No. 3039 is currently docketed in the amount of \$2,109,513.61.

D. The Stipulations

26. On April 17, 2009, the Court so-ordered a Stipulation between the Debtors and Defendant (the “April 17, Stipulation”) [Docket No. 3079] pursuant to which the parties agreed that (1) Defendant was entitled to an administrative expense claim pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 503(b)(9) against Circuit City in the amount of \$7,339,636.44 with respect to Claim No. 368, (2) Defendant was entitled to an administrative expense claim pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 503(b)(1) in the amount of \$1,072,893.12 with respect to Claim No. 12125, and (3) Circuit City had earned post-petition credits (the “Credits”) in the amount of \$3,350,000.00.

27. On June 3, 2009, the Court entered an Order [Docket No. 3504] approving a Stipulation dated April 28, 2009 (the “April 28 Stipulation, and collectively, with the April 17 Stipulation, the “Stipulations”). Pursuant to the April 28 Stipulation, Defendant offset/setoff the Credits against the full amount of the claim awarded pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section

503(b)(1)(A) which resulted in the Claim No. 12125 being reduced to \$0.00 and then offset/setoff the remaining credits against Claim No. 368, resulting in Claim No. 368 being allowed in the amount of \$5,012,529.56.

28. In the Stipulations, the Debtors specifically reserved any and all legal and equitable rights, remedies, defenses, actions and arguments with respect to any and all of Defendant's prepetition general unsecured claims.

E. Amounts Owed To Circuit City By Defendant

29. As of the date hereof, on account of the prepetition business conducted between Defendant and Circuit City pursuant to the Defendant's Agreements or otherwise, Defendant is indebted to Circuit City on account of the following unpaid obligations (the "Unpaid Obligations") in at least the following amounts:

Chargebacks	\$2,359,923.53
Returns and Deposits	\$13,673.11
<u>TOTAL</u>	\$2,373,596.64

30. The Unpaid Obligations are due, owing, and payable by Defendant to Circuit City.

31. Circuit City has made demand for the Unpaid Obligations, but Defendant has not complied, and has not paid any portion of the Unpaid Obligations.

COUNT I

BREACH OF CONTRACT

32. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

33. Pursuant to the Defendant's Agreements, Defendant is obligated to pay to the Debtors the Unpaid Obligations.

34. The Defendant's Agreements are valid and enforceable agreements against Defendant.

35. The Debtors performed their obligations under the Defendant's Agreements with respect to the Unpaid Obligations.

36. Defendant's failure to compensate the Debtors for the Unpaid Obligations in an amount not less than \$2,373,596.64 constitutes a material breach of Defendant's obligations under the Defendant's Agreements.

37. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breaches, the Debtors incurred damages in an amount not less than \$2,373,596.64.

38. Accordingly, the Trustee is entitled to a judgment against Defendant in an amount not less than \$2,373,596.64.

COUNT II

UNJUST ENRICHMENT/QUASI CONTRACT

39. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

40. In the alternative to Count III, but without waiving any allegation with respect thereto, the Trustee makes the following additional allegations in support of Count IV.

41. The Debtors conferred a benefit upon Defendant pursuant to the Defendant Agreements in the nature of chargebacks and returns.

42. Defendant knowingly accepted the benefit conferred by the Debtors.

43. The Debtors reasonably expected to be compensated by Defendant in an amount not less than \$2,373,596.64 on account of the benefit conferred upon Defendant.

44. Defendant's receipt of benefit without just compensation to the Debtors has unjustly enriched Defendant in an amount not less than \$2,373,596.64.

45. The Trustee has no adequate remedy at law to recover the Unpaid Obligations.

46. Accordingly, as a result of Defendant's unjust enrichment at the Debtors' expense, the Trustee is entitled to restitution from the Defendant in an amount not less than \$2,373,596.64.

COUNT III

TURNOVER OF PROPERTY PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 542

47. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

48. In the alternative to Counts I and II, but without waiving any allegation with respect thereto, the Trustee makes the following additional allegations in support of Count III.

49. Defendant is in possession, custody, and control of the Unpaid Obligations in an amount not less than \$2,373,596.64.

50. Defendant is not a custodian for the Unpaid Obligations.

51. The Unpaid Obligations constitute valid and existing debts, due and owing by Defendant to the Debtors.

52. The Unpaid Obligations are property of the Debtors' estates under section 541 of the Bankruptcy Code and constitute debts that are matured, payable on demand, or payable on order.

53. Despite being requested to do so, Defendant has not turned over or paid to Circuit City the Unpaid Obligations.

54. Accordingly, pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 542, Defendant should be compelled to immediately turn over and deliver to the Trustee the Unpaid Obligations in an amount not less than \$2,373,596.64.

COUNT IV

OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 3039 AS OVERSTATED

55. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

56. After a thorough review of the Debtors' Books and Records, the Trustee has determined that Claim No. 3039 is overstated by \$332,994.36. In particular, the Trustee has determined that Claim No. 3039 fails to account for reconciling adjustments and/or provide proper credits to Circuit City.

57. As a result, Defendant's Claim No. 3039 should be reduced to an amount not to exceed \$1,776,519.25.

COUNT V

OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 3039– SETOFF OF THE UNPAID OBLIGATIONS

58. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.

59. In the alternative to Counts I, II and III, but without waiving any allegation with respect thereto, the Trustee makes the following additional allegations in support of Count V.

60. The Unpaid Obligations arose from business transactions between the Debtors and Defendant.

61. The Unpaid Obligations are debts owed by Defendant to the Debtors.

62. Claim No. 3039 also arose from business transactions between Defendant and the Debtors, and is a debt allegedly owed by the Debtors to Defendant.

63. Consequently, under applicable law, the Debtors have established valid setoff rights.

64. As preserved in the Stipulations, the Debtors are authorized to setoff the Unpaid Obligations against Claim No. 3039.

65. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 558, the Debtors' defenses, including setoff, are preserved.

66. Under applicable law and the Stipulations, the Unpaid Obligations should be setoff against Claim No. 3039.

67. After setoff, Claim No. 3039 should be reduced to \$0.

68. After setoff against Claim 368, the Unpaid Obligations should be reduced to \$597,077.39.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Trustee respectfully requests and prays that the Court:

i. Pursuant to Count I, enter judgment against Defendant for breach of contract and award the Trustee damages in an amount not less than \$2,373,596.64; and

ii. In the alternative to Count I, pursuant to Count II, enter judgment against Defendant for unjust enrichment and award Circuit City restitution damages on account of Defendant's unjust enrichment in an amount not less than \$2,373,596.64; and

iii. In the alternative to Counts I and II, pursuant to Count III, order Defendant to immediately turn over and deliver to the Trustee the Unpaid Obligations in an amount not less than \$2,373,596.64; and

iv. Pursuant to Count IV, reduce Claim No. 3039 from the overstated amount of \$2,109,513.61 to the proper amount of \$1,776,519.25; and

v. In the alternative to Counts I, II, III and IV, pursuant to Count V, authorize the Trustee to effect a setoff of the Unpaid Obligations against Claim No. 3039, reducing it to the proper amount of \$0; and

vi. Award the Trustee prejudgment interest at the legally allowed applicable rate; and

vii. Award the Trustee costs, and expenses of suit herein; and

viii. Grant the Trustee such other and further relief the Court deems just and appropriate.

Dated: Richmond, Virginia
November 8, 2010

TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC

/s/ Lynn L. Tavenner

Lynn L. Tavenner (VA Bar No. 30083)
Paula S. Beran (VA Bar No. 34679)
20 North Eighth Street, 2nd Floor
Richmond, Virginia 23219
(804) 783-8300

- and -

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
Jeffrey N. Pomerantz, Esq.
Andrew W. Caine, Esq.
10100 Santa Monica Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90067-4100
(310) 277-6910

- and -

Robert J. Feinstein, Esq.
John A. Morris, Esq.
PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 561-7700

Counsel to the Liquidating Trustee